	UPDATED STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER – APPENDIX 1										
Risk Ref	Description Of	Example	G	ross Ri	sk	Desired Outcome	Control	R	esidual Ri	isk	Risk Owner
	Risk	Consequences	Li	lm	Sc		Processes/ Mitigation	Li	lm	Sc	
Finance – Income and funding	A major reduction in income /funding as result of a reduction in grant funding, reduced collection of council tax or fees and charges This may arise from global or local economic circumstances, government policy on public sector budgets and funding or data that determines grant funding formula.	Lack of income /funding to support Council objectives. Requirement to reduce service provision or budget allocations. Reduced income impacts on performance levels.	4	4	16	The Councils finances are managed effectively.	Effective framework for longer term financial planning that takes account of longer term funding projections. Monitoring of grant funding formula. Effective management arrangements for billing and collection of council tax and fees and charges.	3	4	12	Head of Strategic Finance and Head of Customer and Support Services
Finance - Expenditure	Major unforeseen expenditure creates significant overspend or a need to make significant and unplanned reductions in expenditure or redirection of budgets.	Resources need to be diverted. Reduced levels of performance.	3	4	12	The Councils finances are managed effectively.	Revenue and capital budget preparation including review of base budget, inflation, cost and demand pressures. Revenue and capital budget monitoring.	2	4	8	Head of Strategic Finance

							Maintaining an adequate contingency within General Fund reserve. Adequate insurance coverage.				
Infrastructure – Leadership and management	A lack of Strategic Leadership and Direction will have a negative impact on the ability of the Council to set out strategic objectives and then align service delivery and resources to ensure these objectives are achieved. May also the impact on development of the community planning partnership. Risk that organisation is not focussed on outcomes /objectives resulting in poor decision making	No clear strategic direction/set of objectives. Objectives not achieved as services and resources are not fully aligned to objectives. Opportunities missed to demonstrate community leadership. Confidence in, and reputation of, the Council harmed. Fail to adapt to changing environmental, social and economic conditions.	3	4	12	The Council has a clear strategic direction and service and resources are aligned to ensure Council objectives are achieved.	Corporate Plan sets out overall Council objectives. Community Plan/SOA sets out CPP objectives with clear links to Council contributions (being developed). Corporate Improvement Plan. PPMF and service planning and performance monitoring to ensure service outcomes and activity is in line with Council objectives and performance is meeting targets. Community	3	4	12	Chief Executive

	and inadequate governance arrangements	Fail to meet service needs of citizens.					engagement and consultation to understand activity local needs. Development of a corporate plan				
Infrastructure - Management of services and resources	Services and resources are not effectively managed. Services fail to achieve agreed performance levels and as a result are not contributing fully to Council objectives Resources are poorly managed with result that agreed outcomes and objectives are not fully achieved. Unable to achieve continuous improvement and improve effectiveness and efficiency.	Poor performance. Increased costs. Negative publicity. Unable to demonstrate best value.	3	3	9	Performance targets achieved. Performance improves over time and compared to others. Improved use and management of resources.	Regular performance monitoring and review. Performance scorecards and Pyramid. PPMF and service planning. Corporate Improvement Plan and monitoring of progress. Argyll and Bute Manager Programme.	2	3	6	Executive Directors Heads of Service

Infrastructure - Condition and suitability of overall infrastructure and asset base.	Infrastructure and asset base does not meet current and future requirements. Infrastructure and asset base is not being used or managed efficiently or effectively.	Infrastructure and asset base do not support overall Council objectives. Infrastructure and asset base do not support delivery of service outcomes. Infrastructure and asset base is allowed to deteriorate resulting in cost, lost opportunities and wasted resource.	4	4	16	The Council has an infrastructure and asset base that is maintained, safe, efficient and fit for purpose and which supports development of the area and achievement of objectives.	Corporate Plan. Capital planning process. Asset Management planning process.	3	4	12	Executive Director of Development and Infrastructure Head of Facility Services.
Infrastructure - Civil Contingencies and Business Continuity	The arrangements in place for civil contingencies and business continuity are not effective.	Ineffective management of major emergencies affecting Council services and communities in Argyll and Bute in response to a major emergency. Incident and recovery phase of an emergency lead to greater inconvenience and hardship and a longer timescale for return to normal.	3	4	12	Effective plans and procedures in place to respond to a major event affecting Council services and/or the general public.	On-going training programme in place and continual update of Emergency Plans and procedures. Recent review of business continuity arrangements in 2012. All critical activities identified.	2	4	8	Head of Improvement and HR Head of Governance and Law

		Council unable to effectively deliver its own services as a result of an emergency.									
Operating - Demographic Change	The Council fails to recognise, plan and deliver services in a way that takes account of demographic trends.	Mismatch of resources and service requirements. Services not configured to meet user/citizen requirements.	4	4	16	Performance of key priority services and other key areas identified by the public maintained or improved	Monitoring of population trends. Corporate and service planning process. Planning and performance management framework (PPMF). Community Engagement Strategy. Workforce planning.	3	4	12	Head of Improvement and HR
Operating Market - Population and economic decline	Projected population decline and potential economic decline and failure to identify factor causing the decline and then develop and action strategies to address that decline.	Economic decline results in a circle of decline with reduced employment, lower earnings, failing businesses and poor perception of the area. Population decline reduces funding	5	4	20	Sustainable economic growth and population growth in Argyll and Bute.	Single outcome agreement targets population and economic recovery. Plans will need to be developed to action commitments in SOA. Economic Development	4	4	16	Head of Economic Development

		and reduces scope for efficiencies and economies of scale in service delivery. Combined population and economic decline may increase need and costs for some services.					Action Plan.				
Operating Environment - Partnership Governance	Inadequate Partnership Governance Arrangements. Risk that partnership arrangements are poorly defined and constituted leading to an inability to deliver outcomes and objectives or being democratically deficient	Lack of Accountability. Lack of democratic input to key decisions. Partnership viewed as having failed and not achieving objectives. Wasted resources and effort. Reputational damage.	4	3	12	SOA outcomes achieved.	SOA (currently being drafted). Clear line of sight from SOA to individual partner contributions (being developed). CPP governance arrangements and partnership agreement.	3	3	9	Head of Improvement and HR Head of Governance and Law
Reputation	Poor image and reputation including negative external scrutiny. The Council fails to maintain its general reputation with residents, the Community and the	Reputation declines. Negative impact on morale. Poor reputation undermines action being taken to target population	4	4	16	The reputation of the Council is protected and enhanced.	Community Engagement Strategy. Communications Strategy. Action plans to improve customer services.	3	4	12	Head of Improvement and HR

	wider Local Government Community. Poor performance and poor audit and inspection results.	and economic growth. Increased risk of audit and inspection activity.					Planning and performance management framework to ensure services properly planned and managed and performance targets achieved.				
Engagement - Alignment of service delivery.	The Council fails to understand community needs and align service delivery to meet these.	Gaps between community needs and Council services. Also impacts on reputation.	3	4	12	The Council understands local needs and aligns service deliver accordingly.	Community Engagement Strategy. Operation & development of: Panels & Forums - Young Peoples Panel - Youth Website - Citizens Panel etc	2	4	8	Head of Improvement and HR
Topical – Welfare Reform	Implementation of welfare reform is not managed well resulting in increased poverty and deprivation or short term crisis.	Increase in demand or costs for Council services. Financial crisis and hardship for individuals. Adverse impact on local economic development. Adverse impact on communities. Potential widening of inequalities gap.	5	4	20	Well managed implementation of welfare reform in a way that minimises impact on individuals and communities but does not create a financial burden for the Council.	Separate project established to manage welfare reform with clear plans, resources and risks identified. Joint working with DWP, CPP and other Agencies to plan response to potential impact. Discussions ongoing at national level re local services support framework.	3	4	12	Head of Customer and Support Services

Topical – Health and Social Care integration	Implementation of health and social care integration is not managed effectively.	Unable to proceed with health and social care integration on a managed basis and/or in accordance with timescales. Integration has a negative impact on health and social care service delivery.	4	4	16	Planned and managed implementation of health and social care.	Establish a separate project to focus on implementation and identifying and addressing the issues arising.	3	4	12	Executive Director – Community Services
Topical – Political Leadership	Political instability means there is a lack of collective strategic leadership by councillors. Current political management arrangements are contributing to the problem. Whilst not affecting front line services these issues are beginning to affect strategic planning.	Loss of Strategic direction. Deterioration in performance. Negative impact on reputation.	4	5	20	Improved Strategic focus. Performance level maintained. Support political leadership.	New Administration in place from late September with 27 out of 36 members. Revised political management Arrangements agreed at Council on 23 January 2014. Action plan to address issues set out in Audit Scotland statutory report approved by Council on 23 January. Ongoing programme of members seminars in place. External support from COSLA on the	3	4	12	Chief Executive

				Improvement Service has been brought in.				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Li = Likelihood Im = Impact Sc = Score

	Risk Assessment I	Matrix – A	Appendix 2
	Likelihood		Impact
Score	Description	Score	Description
1	Remote – Very unlikely to ever happen.	1	None – minimal impact on objectives, budget, people and time
2	Unlikely – Not expected but possible.	2	Minor – 1%/10% budget, first aid, minor impact objectives,1wk/3 months delay.
3	Moderate – May happen occasionally.	3	Moderate – 10%/30% budget, medical treatment required, objectives partially achievable, 3/12 months delay.
4	Likely – Will probably occur at some time.	4	Major – 30%/70% budget, permanent harm, significant impact on service delivery, 1/2 years delay.
5	Almost certain – Will undoubtedly happen and possibly frequently	5	Catastrophic – Over 70% budget, death, unable to fulfil obligations, over 2 years delay.

A combined score of 15 or more is classed as a red risk.

A combined score of between 6 and 14 is classed as an amber risk.

A combined score of less than 5 or less is classed as a green risk.